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Electric propulsion and simplified vehicle ops. were identified early as “big hitter” technologies, but what else is required?

In Kansas City (Oct 2015) held “Other” breakout session

- The community identified many different areas of interest including airspace, acoustics, safety, public perception, manufacturing, materials, infrastructure, and more
- Airspace became standalone group
- Some areas/investments were integrated into the other working groups
- (Most of) the remaining investments fit into three categories:
  - Manufacturing
  - Integrated Structures
  - Community Impact
Scope

Manufacturing
• Reduced costs for both “low” and “high” volume production runs
• New manufacturing techniques (e.g., additive manufacturing)

Integrated Structures
• Improved modeling and analysis capabilities
  ▪ Reduce design cycle / certification time and cost
  ▪ Reduce maintenance costs / increase aircraft availability
• Advanced structures/materials
  ▪ Can the structure do more than carry loads? (e.g., store energy, self-heal, morph, reduce noise)
  ▪ Can we reduce structural weight?

Community Impact
• Increase public acceptance
• Ensure safety for both occupants and bystanders on ground
• Acceptable acoustic environment
• Encourage adoption / increase utilization
# ODM Barriers & Figures of Merit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Certification</td>
<td>Time/Cost Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordability</td>
<td>Total Operating Cost/Pax Mile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Fatal Accidents per Vehicle Mile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Use</td>
<td>Required Operator Training Time &amp; Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door to Door Trip Speed</td>
<td>mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Trip Delay</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Noise</td>
<td>Perceived Annoyance @ standoff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ride Quality</td>
<td>Passenger Comfort Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>Energy/Pax Mile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifecycle Emissions</td>
<td>Total Emissions/Pax Mile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Product of Kansas City Workshop, Oct. 2015*
## ODM Barriers & Figures of Merit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Primary</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Certification</td>
<td><strong>Ease of Certification</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td><strong>Ease of Certification</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time/Cost Required</td>
<td><strong>Time/Cost Required</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordability</td>
<td><strong>Affordability</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td><strong>Affordability</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Cost/Pax Mile</td>
<td><strong>Total Operating Cost/Pax Mile</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td><strong>Safety</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td><strong>Safety</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatal Accidents per Vehicle Mile</td>
<td><strong>Fatal Accidents per Vehicle Mile</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Use</td>
<td><strong>Ease of Use</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td><strong>Ease of Use</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required Operator Training Time &amp; Cost</td>
<td><strong>Required Operator Training Time &amp; Cost</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door to Door Trip Speed</td>
<td><strong>Door to Door Trip Speed</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td><strong>Door to Door Trip Speed</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mph</td>
<td><strong>mph</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Trip Delay</td>
<td><strong>Average Trip Delay</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td><strong>Average Trip Delay</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td><strong>Time</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Noise</td>
<td><strong>Community Noise</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td><strong>Community Noise</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Annoyance @ standoff</td>
<td><strong>Perceived Annoyance @ standoff</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ride Quality</td>
<td><strong>Ride Quality</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td><strong>Ride Quality</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Comfort Index</td>
<td><strong>Passenger Comfort Index</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td><strong>Efficiency</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td><strong>Efficiency</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy/Pax Mile</td>
<td><strong>Energy/Pax Mile</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifecycle Emissions</td>
<td><strong>Lifecycle Emissions</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td><strong>Lifecycle Emissions</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Emissions /Pax Mile</td>
<td><strong>Total Emissions /Pax Mile</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMMUNITY IMPACT: ACOUSTICS
Acoustics Overview

- Noise control technologies
- Metrics: i.e., how to measure annoyance?
- Modeling tools
- Design for low noise
  - Example: YO-3A
    - “Silent” at altitudes of 800-1500 ft
    - Flew in Vietnam and never took a round
    - Used by NASA to measure rotorcraft noise in flight
    - Low prop tip speed
  - New options with DEP

![Graph](nasa.gov)
Baseline General Aviation Prop

Average Source Power = 101.8 dB
Peak Level at Centerline Receiver = 101.4 dB

18 LE Props all in phrase

Average Source Power = 89.0 dB (-12.8 dB re: GA Prop)
Peak Level at Centerline Receiver = 96.4 dB (-5 dB re: GA Prop)

18 LE Props – Spread Spectrum

Average Source Power = 89.0 dB (-12.8 dB re: GA Prop)
Peak Level at Centerline Receiver = 90.1 dB (-11.3 dB re: GA Prop)

Average Source Power = 89.0 dB (-12.8 dB re: GA Prop)
Peak Level at Centerline Receiver = 90.7 dB (-10.7 dB re: GA Prop)

Δf = 0.5 Hz

Changing the number of props

18 props

12 props

DF=1 Hz

Adding atmospheric & controller impacts

Different metrics show different stories

- DEP concept with 12 propellers

COMMUNITY IMPACT:
SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS
Battling both real and perceived safety

- Legitimate safety issues involved in flying vs driving
  - Must safely land aircraft as opposed to simply pulling car to shoulder
  - Helicopter “dead man’s curve”
    - Require sufficient altitude and airspeed to begin autorotation and arrest descent rate in event of engine failure

- New vehicle concepts (e.g., DEP) may avoid certain issues

- Desire “stopgap” safety measures
  - Backup systems to other safety features
  - Provide “peace of mind” to public
Full-Aircraft Parachutes

- Stabilize aircraft in safe attitude and reduce aircraft descent rate
- Current full-aircraft parachutes require ~150-200 ft altitude and more than ~35 knots airspeed to deploy
  - VTOL aircraft may operate at slower speeds and lower altitudes
  - Difficult to deploy with conventional rotorcraft, but possible with new concepts
- Potential future advancements:
  - Lower altitude/airspeed capabilities
  - Steerable parachutes
  - Automatic deployment

X-38 (emergency Crew Return Vehicle concept) with steerable parafoil

BRS chute deployment for SR-20

spinoff.nasa.gov

Full-Aircraft Parachutes

17

spinoff.nasa.gov

Full-Aircraft Parachutes

17

spinoff.nasa.gov
Energy absorbing systems

- Absorb kinetic energy in materials as opposed to transferring to occupants
- Developed to date for spacecraft and military aircraft
- Example systems:
  - Deployable energy absorbing concept
  - Full aircraft airbags
    - Double as floatation devices in case of water landing

Videos of Deployable Energy Absorbing (DEA) concept from Dr. Sotiris Kellas of NASA Langley
Eliminating the “dead man’s curve”

- Ballistic recovery system (BRS) for $> \sim 50$ ft altitude and $\sim 30$ knots
- Energy absorbing systems (EAS) for $< \sim 50$ ft and $\sim 30$ knots

MANUFACTURING
Additive Manufacturing (AM)

- Potential for
  - Reduced weight (i.e., higher efficiency, more range/payload)
  - Reduced cost (less tooling; low-volume production can reutilize same machines)

- AM handbook

- New AM methods

- AM of
  - sub-systems (e.g., electric motors, fuel cells)
  - primary structure
  - entire aircraft

“Guide for Low Cost Design and Manufacturing of Composite General Aviation Aircraft”
Flexible Robotic Manufacturing

- Idea: reduce manual labor required (i.e., recurring cost)
- Robotic stations can be programmed to do many tasks
  - Swapping ‘end effectors’
- Enables scalable manufacturing
  - Small production runs could employ a small number of robotic stations, each serving multiple functions
  - Large production runs could employ many robotic stations, each serving a small number of functions

- BMW i3 assembly line
INTEGRATED STRUCTURES
Digital Twin / Smart Hangar

- **Digital twin**
  - Use models to predict performance and failures in physical aircraft prior to flight

- **Smart hangar**
  - Assess vehicle health while in the hangar with on- and off-board sensors

- **Potential benefits**
  - Increase safety
    - Frequent inspection
    - Help make “no go” decisions
  - Reduce costs
    - Enable condition-based maintenance
    - ID problems early

Digital twin POC Paul Leser (NASA Langley); Smart Hangar POC and figure credit: Cy Wilson (NASA Langley)
Many small aircraft cannot afford weight penalty and cost of current de-icing systems.

Coatings based on nano-materials well-matched to lower-than-transonic speeds (i.e., won’t wear away).

Ideally paired with electric propulsion’s ability to run at higher powers for short periods of time to climb through potential icing conditions.

Can increase utilization.

POCs Joe Smith (NASA Langley) and Chris Wohl (NASA Langley)
Multi-functional structures

- **Energy storage:**
  - Instead of having separate structure and energy storage systems, combine them to save vehicle weight.
  - Poorer structure than conventional structure and poorer energy storage capability compared to conventional means, but net reduction in overall weight.
  - Distribution of energy storage may reduce electricity transmission weight/losses and increase reliability through redundancy.

- **Other ideas:**
  - Energy transmission
  - Insulating (cryogenics)
  - Self-healing composites
WRAP-UP
# Roadmap: Manufacturing, Integrated Structures, & Community Impact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proof of Feasibility, Concept Exploration, Certification Requirements, Basis</td>
<td>Design, Development, Certification, Validation of Operational Prototypes</td>
<td>Early Operations, Maturation, Redesign, Extension, and Technology Scaling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Community Impact

- **Thin-Haul X-Plane (X-57)**: Extremely low altitude / speed full-aircraft parachute, Noise/annoyance metric development.
- **VTOL X-Plane**: Noise modeling tools with validation data sets, Crashworthy structural design with energy-absorbing materials.
- **Scale-Down Tech Integration**: Noise control technologies, Energy absorbing systems / external airbags, High weight / advanced aircraft recovery parachute, Additive manufacturing (AM) ‘handbook’.
- **Initial Operational Vehicles**: Flexible robotic manufacturing, Advanced additive (AM) manufacturing techniques, Anti-ice coatings, Progressive damage anal. methods for composites, Structural health monitoring techs.
- **Scale-Up Tech Integration**: Damage tolerant/self-healing composites, Smart Hangar, Morphing structures.

## M-SHELLS (multi-functional structures)

- **Leveraging Existing Investments**: Digital Twin
- **Manufacturing**: Narrativearound manufacturing technologies and practices.
- **Integrated Structures & Materials**: Focus on materials and their integration.

## Discussion Points

- **X-57**: Ultralight / quiet aircraft, extremely low altitude / speed full-aircraft parachute.
- **VTOL X-Plane**: Noise modeling tools with validation data sets, Crashworthy structural design with energy-absorbing materials.
- **Scale-Down Tech Integration**: Noise control technologies, Energy absorbing systems / external airbags, High weight / advanced aircraft recovery parachute, Additive manufacturing (AM) ‘handbook’.
- **Initial Operational Vehicles**: Flexible robotic manufacturing, Advanced additive (AM) manufacturing techniques, Anti-ice coatings, Progressive damage anal. methods for composites, Structural health monitoring techs.
- **Scale-Up Tech Integration**: Damage tolerant/self-healing composites, Smart Hangar, Morphing structures.

## Summary

The roadmap outlines a strategic approach to integrating manufacturing, structures, and community impact through various aircraft and technology advancements, ensuring sustainability and innovation in aerospace technology.
Many potential technologies
Impact nearly every ODM goal
Main goals of investments:
  • Increase safety
  • Reduce community noise/annoyance
  • Reduce structural weight
  • Reduce acquisition costs

Acoustics and safety technologies likely required
  • Public must accept these vehicles (both to fly in them and to allow them to fly over)
  • Other individual technologies likely not absolutely necessary but some combination of them may be
QUESTIONS?
BACKUPS
MISC Contributions to ODM Goals

- Potential to reduce certification requirements for other technologies with improved “stopgap” safety systems
- Improved modeling may reduce need for extensive physical testing
- “Handbooks” to help enable certification of new manufacturing processes
MISC Contributions to ODM Goals

- Improved “stopgap” safety systems to complement other technologies (e.g., SVO-related technologies)
- Reduced risk of flying with unsound structure
  - Structural health monitoring/modeling
  - Improved material damage tolerance
MISC Contributions to ODM Goals

- Reduced system weights can lead to reduced acquisition costs
- Improved modeling and monitoring of structures can reduce costs
  - Acquisition costs (i.e., design, certification)
  - Operating costs (i.e., reduced maintenance)
- Improved safety and reduced damage in crashes reduces depreciation costs
### MISC Contributions to ODM Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ease of Use</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Training</th>
<th>Time &amp; Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- **Only minor impacts**
  - Safety technologies could reduce required pilot certification requirements (e.g., less off-nominal training required)
  - Structural monitoring/modeling reduces required operator knowledge/time for inspections
Potential for more direct routes / desirable altitudes
  - Anti-ice coatings to fly through instead of around known icing conditions
  - Improved safety systems (i.e., parachutes, airbags) to reduce concerns of low flight over populated areas

Multi-mode vehicles
  - Reduced mode change time
  - May be enabled with morphing structures
MISC Contributions to ODM Goals

- Weather penetration
  - e.g., anti-icing

- Improved aircraft availability
  - Better scheduling of maintenance downtime
    - e.g., monitoring or modeling indicates repair/replacement in advance
  - Reduced maintenance downtime
    - e.g., damage tolerant structures
MISC Contributions to ODM Goals

- Metrics to quantify the perception of noise
- Noise reduction technologies
- New tools to enable design for low perceived noise
- Reduced vehicle weights can lead to lower noise
MISC Contributions to ODM Goals

- Decreased cabin noise
- Indirect impacts possible through
  - morphing structures
  - improved actuators that may help enable gust load alleviation systems
MISC Contributions to ODM Goals

- Reduced structural weight
- Increased electrical transmission efficiency
Investment List: Example Subset

**Manufacturing**

- Flexible robotic manufacturing
  - Replace many manual labor tasks with robotic stations
  - Robotic stations can be programmed to do many different tasks
  - Small production runs could employ a small number of robotic stations, each serving multiple functions
  - Large production runs could employ many robotic stations, each serving a small number of functions

- Additive manufacturing handbook
  - Define “best practices” for design and inspection
  - Determine sufficient factors of safety for different processes

- Additive manufacturing of subsystems (e.g., fuel cells, electric motors)

- New additive manufacturing methods (e.g., liquidjet printing, robotic direct fiber placement)
Integrated Structures

• Multi-functional structures with energy storage (e.g., M-SHELLS)
  ▪ Instead of having separate structure and energy storage systems, combine them to save vehicle weight
  ▪ Poorer structure than conventional structure and poorer energy storage capability compared to conventional means, but net reduction in overall weight
  ▪ Distribution of energy storage may reduce electricity transmission weight/losses and increase reliability through redundancy

• Improved modeling of composite structures
  ▪ Develop improved, high-fidelity progressive damage analysis methods for composite materials to predict onset and propagation of damage
  ▪ Utilize tools to develop more structurally efficient designs and/or reduce design/certification time with less physical testing than currently required

• Morphing structures / advanced actuators
  ▪ Change vehicle characteristics (e.g., wing camber) throughout mission to be more advantageous for different phases of flight
  ▪ May help enable multi-mode vehicles that can safely fly and drive on roads

• Damage-tolerant / “self-healing” composites
  ▪ Damage from accidental damage (e.g., dropping tools), high voltage electrical shortages, projectiles, etc. can be automatically mitigated
Community Impact: Safety enhancements

- Extremely low altitude aircraft parachute
  - Aircraft parachutes serve as safety backup in case of off-nominal situation, decreasing aircraft acceleration to safe levels prior to ground impact
  - Current aircraft parachutes require altitude/airspeed to successfully deploy and are not applicable to VTOL aircraft operating close to people at low altitudes
  - Low altitude parachute systems can eliminate “coffin corner”/“dead man’s curve” for VTOL operations and reduce risk of damage to objects on ground

- External aircraft airbag
  - Provides absorption of energy during crash while reducing structural deformation to enable safe egress post-crash
  - Reduction in damage to objects on ground upon landing
  - Can be utilized as a “life jacket” to keep aircraft afloat in water, reducing chances of drowning if aircraft crashes over water

- Energy absorbing composites
  - Crash loads are absorbed by the structure while enabling safe egress post-crash
Community Impact: Acoustics

- Noise metrics for ODM vehicles
  - Current certification metrics assume conventional aircraft and operations, but ODM aircraft and missions may differ significantly
  - Need to assess the human perception of noise to make practical ODM vehicles that can operate in close proximity to people with minimal annoyance
  - Metrics could be used in vehicle design and certification as well as assessment of airspace models

- Noise modeling tools
  - Novel vehicle configurations or airspace usage will require new tools to effectively predict noise for both occupants and those on ground
  - New metrics will require new tools to predict perceived noise to enable effective vehicle and airspace design

- Noise control technologies
  - For operations near humans, noise levels must be reduced from current SOA aircraft/rotorcraft levels
  - Many possible ways to reduce noise including rotor design/operation, acoustic liners, and active control
Community Impact: increased utilization

• Anti-ice coatings based on nano-materials
  ▪ Small aircraft (particularly those with low utilization) cannot afford weight penalty, cost of current de-icing systems
  ▪ Coatings well-matched to lower-than-transonic speeds (i.e., won’t wear away)
  ▪ Ideally paired with electric propulsion’s ability to run at higher powers for short periods of time to climb through potential icing conditions

• Digital twin
  ▪ Multi-physics, probabilistic simulation of aircraft used to predict performance and failures in physical aircraft prior to flight
  ▪ Predictions can be used to make “no go” decisions, enable condition-based maintenance, and inform risk management

• Smart hangar
  ▪ Couple onboard sensors and external non-destructive evaluation techniques to assess vehicle health while in the hangar
  ▪ Provides frequent, automatic inspections to identify problems early when repairs may be less costly and reduce manual inspection time
Investment List (1 of 3)

Manufacturing

• Flexible robotic manufacturing

• Additive manufacturing handbook

• New additive manufacturing methods (e.g., liquidjet printing, robotic direct fiber placement)

• Additive manufacturing of subsystems (e.g., fuel cells, electric motors)
Integrated Structures

• Multi-functional structures with energy storage
• Improved modeling of composite structures
• Damage-tolerant / “self-healing” materials
• Morphing structures / advanced actuators
• Polyimide aerogels for primary wing structures
• Multi-functional structures with cryogenically cooled electricity transmission
• Modular structures and design methods for these structures
• New nano-structure materials or other “superstructures” with improved material properties
Community Impact

• Safety enhancements
  ▪ Extremely low altitude aircraft parachute
  ▪ External aircraft airbag for improved survivability and flotation
  ▪ Crashworthy structural design using energy absorbing composites
  ▪ Advanced aircraft recovery parachute technologies (e.g., steerable parachutes, autonomous activation, extractor motor control, etc)

• Acoustics
  ▪ Noise metrics for ODM vehicles (to determine perceived noise)
  ▪ Noise modeling tools
  ▪ Noise control technologies (e.g., liners, active control)

• Increased utilization
  ▪ Anti-ice coatings
  ▪ Digital twin
  ▪ Smart hangar